



## Guidelines for Posters

1. At least one author must be a Nurse Anesthetist (or student nurse anesthetist) from IFNA member country.
2. The presenting author must be stated. This is the author with whom we will correspond. All authors must list appropriate credentials.

Examples: Jane Smith, MS, CRNA, FAAN  
John Doe, MEd, RNA

3. Proposals or incomplete projects will not be accepted.
4. Pilot studies may be accepted if accompanied by a full analysis of the pilot data/findings.
5. Previously disseminated (e.g., published or presented at a meeting) research is eligible if disseminated within the past 4 years. Older content should not be submitted.
6. Abstracts & posters must be submitted in English via [www.wcna2022.org](http://www.wcna2022.org).  
**Abstracts must be submitted by January 3, 2022.** Applicants will be notified whether the abstract is accepted for poster presentation.
  - If abstract is accepted, a **pdf of the poster must be submitted by April 4, 2022.**
  - Late submissions will not be accepted.
7. Applicants will select one of the following categories when submitting their abstract.
  - **Quantitative Research:** Quantitative method, objective measurement of variable, statistical analysis, and conclusion
  - **Qualitative Research:** Non-numerical data, seeks to understand 'how' and 'why' phenomena, concepts, thoughts, or experiences.
  - **Evidence-based Practice:** Problem-solving approach integrating systematic search & critical appraisal of relevant evidence to answer specific clinical, education, or administrative question and translates/applies best evidence to practice.
  - **Quality Improvement:** Data-driven, systematic approach to improve internal systems, processes, costs, productivity, and quality outcomes by incorporating existing knowledge into process improvement activities.
  - **Case Report:** Report describing unusual, complicated, or novel case of the symptoms, signs, diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of an individual patients with a review of the relevant literature on a topic
  - **Invention / Innovation:** New invention or innovation of an existing product or process that demonstrates adherence to scholarly standards and practices, with proof of concept backed by evidence & results.



8. Printed posters cannot exceed international size A0 (841mm x 1188mm or 33"x47"). Larger posters will be disqualified. Posters cannot be hung on walls or curtains. Posters should be readable from a 2-meter distance.
9. Presenters are responsible for hanging their poster on the first conference day, and will receive further instructions when they check in for the WCNA. Presenters must also remove their posters after the poster judging session as instructed. Posters not removed by the designated time will be discarded.
10. Each poster will be scored by 3 judges using the rubrics found later in this packet. The scores will be averaged for a final score. The judges are members of the IFNA Education Committee or Practice Committee.
11. To be eligible for an award, the author is required to provide an oral presentation to judges during the poster presentation session. You will receive information about the date/time of the poster presentation session when you check in to the WCNA.
  - Poster presentations are informal and an opportunity to share and discuss findings with colleagues.
  - Posters will be grouped by themes. One or two judge(s) will be assigned to each theme group.
  - The theme group will travel to each of the group members' posters. While standing in front of their poster, each primary author will give a 5-minute presentation to their theme group followed by a 5-minute group discussion.
  - While optional, authors are encouraged to provide informative handouts including their contact information with those viewing their posters.
12. The top 3 posters will receive an award that will be announced at the Closing Ceremony. Each winner will receive a certificate and a photo will be taken.



**WCNA 2022 Poster Session**

Author(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Poster # \_\_\_\_\_  
 Poster Title: \_\_\_\_\_

**Quantitative Research Rubric**

Criteria	Description	Points Possible	Points awarded
Author	Name(s), credentials, and Affiliation are present	2	
Organization	Poster is uncluttered, flows naturally	4	
Readability	Easy to read and follow; font size appropriate	4	
Professional	Scholarly language and presentation	3	
Visually appealing	Captures and holds viewer's attention	4	
Spelling/Grammar	Free of spelling and grammar errors	4	
Graphics	All clearly labeled and easy to understand	3	
References	Lists the most important only (5 or fewer)	3	
Ethical	Includes statement regarding ethics board approval (e.g., IRB), or that approval not required; Meets ethical standards	3	
Title	Clearly describes research initiative	5	
Background	Nature & significance of problem clearly identified; demonstrates need for study	5	
Primary Aim/ Purpose of Study	Incorporated with primary research variables, clearly stated, consistent with title & background	5	
Theoretical framework	Appropriate and explains relationship among variables	5	
Literature review	Appropriate, current, identifies gaps/needs for study	10	
Methodology	Clearly described, reproducible	10	
Statistical approach	Appropriate statistical procedures, power analysis described & appropriate, reliability & validity discussed	10	
Results	Statistical analysis clearly presented and accurate	10	
Conclusion	Clearly stated; Consistent with research purpose & results	10	
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>100</b>	



**WCNA 2022 Poster Session**

Author(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Poster # \_\_\_\_\_  
 Poster Title: \_\_\_\_\_

**Qualitative Research Rubric**

Criteria	Description	Points Possible	Points awarded
Author	Name(s), credentials, and Affiliation are present	2	
Organization	Poster is uncluttered, flows naturally	4	
Readability	Easy to read and follow; font size appropriate	4	
Professional	Scholarly language and presentation	3	
Visually appealing	Captures and holds viewer's attention	4	
Spelling/Grammar	Free of spelling and grammar errors	4	
Graphics	All clearly labeled and easy to understand	3	
References	Lists the most important only (5 or fewer)	3	
Ethical	Includes statement regarding ethics board approval (e.g., IRB), or that approval not required; Meets ethical standards	3	
Title	Clearly describes problem and/or phenomena of study	5	
Research question or hypothesis	Clearly stated	5	
Significance/ Purpose	Problem and/or phenomena explained, including relationship to nurse anesthesia	5	
Literature review	Appropriate, current, identifies gaps/needs for study	10	
Research design	Describes how study was conducted	5	
Methodology/ tradition	Appropriate; logically consistent for phenomena and question(s) posed	10	
Sampling & data collection	Describes: how participants selected; data collection strategies & procedures; saturation of data	10	
Data analysis	Describes strategy used; researcher's understanding of procedures; strategy consistent with purpose of study; addresses credibility, auditability, & fittingness	10	
Findings/Results	Findings are rich, clearly described, and have heuristic relevance (intuitive recognition; relationship to existing body of knowledge; and applicability)	10	
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>100</b>	





**WCNA 2022 Poster Session**

Author(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Poster # \_\_\_\_\_  
 Poster Title: \_\_\_\_\_

**Evidence-based Practice Rubric**

Criteria	Description	Points Possible	Points awarded
Author	Name(s), credentials, and Affiliation are present	2	
Organization	Poster is uncluttered, flows naturally	4	
Readability	Easy to read and follow; font size appropriate	4	
Professional	Scholarly language and presentation	3	
Visually appealing	Captures and holds viewer's attention	4	
Spelling/Grammar	Free of spelling and grammar errors	4	
Graphics	All clearly labeled and easy to understand	3	
References	Lists the most important only (5 or fewer)	3	
Ethical	Includes statement regarding ethics board approval (e.g., IRB), or that approval not required; Meets ethical standards	3	
Title	Clearly describes evidence-based initiative	5	
Background	Nature & significance of problem clearly identified	5	
Purpose	Clearly stated, consistent with title & background	5	
Evidence-based question	Focused & clearly stated, consistent with title, background, purpose; e.g., PICOT question	10	
Evidence-based methodology	Database search clearly described & reproducible	10	
Analysis/synthesis of literature	Evidence current; inclusive of all relevant literature; clearly presented; consistent with purpose/question	10	
Conclusion/results	Evaluation of project clearly described with results	10	
Impact statement	Recommendation for practice change; consistent with conclusions & literature synthesis	10	
Future	Recommendation for future research	5	
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>100</b>	



**WCNA 2022 Poster Session**

Author(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Poster # \_\_\_\_\_  
 Poster Title: \_\_\_\_\_

**Quality Improvement Rubric**

Criteria	Description	Points Possible	Points awarded
Author	Name(s), credentials, and Affiliation are present	2	
Organization	Poster is uncluttered, flows naturally	4	
Readability	Easy to read and follow; font size appropriate	4	
Professional	Scholarly language and presentation	3	
Visually appealing	Captures and holds viewer's attention	4	
Spelling/Grammar	Free of spelling and grammar errors	4	
Graphics	All clearly labeled and easy to understand	3	
References	Lists the most important only (5 or fewer)	3	
Ethical	Includes statement regarding ethics board approval (e.g., IRB), or that approval not required; Meets ethical standards	3	
Title	Clearly describes quality initiative and/or conclusion statement	5	
Problem statement	Clearly defined	5	
Project intent	Describes the purpose of the project including specific intent or goals	5	
Background	Clearly identifies background & significance of problem	10	
Literature review/synthesis	Systematic literature review; critically summarizes the evidence	10	
Methods	Describes the design, organization, setting, evidence-based innovation or implementation strategy, assessment measures; describes what data was collected, how it was collected, by whom, how privacy maintained, data storage and confidentiality considerations	10	
Results	Clearly presents before/after results; data source, date ranges, n, % change, etc.; statistical measures, if used	10	
Discussion/conclusions	Summarizes project/key findings and connects to statement of intent and literature review; explains how it solves a problem, benefits others, impacts anesthesia practice, etc.; discusses any limitations; addresses next steps/need for further study	10	
Future	Recommendations for the future clearly stated	5	
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>100</b>	



**WCNA 2022 Poster Session**

**Case Report Rubric**

Author(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Poster # \_\_\_\_\_  
 Poster Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Criteria	Description	Points Possible	Points awarded
Author	Name(s), credentials, and Affiliation are present	2	
Organization	Poster is uncluttered, flows naturally	4	
Readability	Easy to read and follow; font size appropriate	4	
Professional	Scholarly language and presentation	3	
Visually appealing	Captures and holds viewer's attention	4	
Spelling/Grammar	Free of spelling and grammar errors	4	
Graphics	All clearly labeled and easy to understand	3	
References	Lists the most important only (5 or fewer)	3	
Ethical	Includes statement regarding ethics board approval (e.g., IRB), or that approval not required; Meets ethical standards	3	
Title	Clearly demonstrates the event, disease, and/or syndrome	5	
Impact statement	Clearly describes the impact or potential impact on the care delivered by nurse anesthetists	5	
Introduction	Describes why case is unique; relevant etiology & epidemiology; may include relevant references to literature	5	
Case presentation	Patient information: thorough & detailed	10	
	Perioperative course including timeline & clinical findings and events	10	
	Diagnostic assessments	10	
	Therapeutic interventions	10	
Discussion	Describes strengths & limitations in approach to case; discussion of relevant literature; rationale for conclusions	10	
Future	Recommendations for the future, including lessons learned	5	
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>100</b>	



**WCNA 2022 Poster Session**

**Invention / Innovation Rubric\***

Author(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Poster # \_\_\_\_\_  
 Poster Title: \_\_\_\_\_

*\*The poster/presentation must not request funding or be an advertisement of the product or innovation.*

Criteria	Description	Points Possible	Points awarded
Author	Name(s), credentials, and Affiliation are present	2	
Organization	Poster is uncluttered, flows naturally	4	
Readability	Easy to read and follow; font size appropriate	4	
Professional	Scholarly language and presentation	3	
Visually appealing	Captures and holds viewer's attention	4	
Spelling/Grammar	Free of spelling and grammar errors	4	
Graphics	All clearly labeled and easy to understand	3	
References	Lists the most important only (5 or fewer)	3	
Ethical	Includes statement regarding ethics board approval (e.g., IRB), or that approval not required; Meets ethical standards	3	
Title	Clearly describes invention or innovative process	5	
Background	Nature of the problem clearly identified	5	
Significance	Problem clearly explained with relationship to nurse anesthesia	5	
Objective / Aim	Clearly stated, consistent with background & significance	10	
Literature review	Appropriate, current, supports need for invention / innovation	10	
Methodology	Clearly described, reproducible; may provide design of development	10	
Results	Baseline data described; statistical analysis clearly presented & accurate: demonstrates proof of concept	10	
Conclusions	Potential impact discussed; consistent with literature review, methodology & results; clearly stated	10	
Future	Recommendations for the future clearly stated	5	
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>100</b>	